



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,237	09/20/2001	Lyle Joseph Chamberlain	TUC920010065US1 502.64US0	4066
7590 06/05/2006			EXAMINER	
David W. Lynch			DESIRE, GREGORY M	
Crawford Maun	u PLLC			
1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mendota Heights, MN 55120			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/961,237	CHAMBERLAIN, LYLE JOSEPH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory M. Desire	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2006</u> .					
·— · ·	s action is non-final.				
,	,—				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 19-24 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-12 and 14-18 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to appeal brief filed 3/03/06.

### Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments see section VII in the appeal brief, filed 3/3/06, with respect to claims 1, 5-6, 19 and 23-24 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The art rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see section VII, filed 3/3/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7 and 13 under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Karidi et al.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the thresholded image" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,237 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

7. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the thresholded image" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karidi et al (6,634,553).

Regarding method and article of manufacture claims 7 and 13 Karidi discloses,

Illuminating an object with an illumination source (note col. 13 lines 50-55);

Gathering image data for the illuminated object (note fig. 3 block 301, scanner gather

image data based on illuminated object); and

Processing the image data by using bounding boxes (note fig. 1A, 3) to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the thresholded image (note col. 5)

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-6 and 19-24 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2624

11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for independent claims 1 and 19. The claims specific define features of a cartridge. The prior art fails to discloses using bounding box to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the threshold image. These features in an image tape cartridge picker system are not taught in the prior art. Claims 2-6 and 20-24 depend on claims 1 and 19, respectively. Therefore are also allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 12. Claims 8-12 and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter for claims 8 and 14. The prior art fails to teach the specific limitation of the processor locating bounding box as claimed. These features, in combination with other limitations, are not taught in the prior art. Claims 9-10 and 15-16 depend on claims 8 and 14. Therefore are also objected.

Regarding claims 11 and 17, prior art fails describe physical feature claimed within a tape library system. Claims 12 and 18 depend on claims 11 and 17, respectively, therefore are also objected.

Art Unit: 2624

#### Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory M. Desire Examiner Art Unit 2624

G.D. May 30, 2006 Degry Desis